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OBJECTIVE: Children with neurologic conditions require a variety of services. With this study we examined health care needs and unmet needs among children with neurologic conditions.

METHODS: Cross-sectional data reported by parents of 3- to 17-year-olds in the 2005–2006 National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs were analyzed. Demographic characteristics, health care needs, and unmet needs of children with special health care needs (CSHCN) and neurologic conditions were descriptively compared with an independent referent group of children without special health care needs; statistical contrasts were performed as a function of the type (conditions included in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [DSM] or not) and number of reported neurologic conditions.

RESULTS: Compared with the parents of children without special health care needs, parents of CSHCN with neurologic conditions were more likely to report unmet health care needs for their child. After adjustment for demographic factors and severity of functional limitation, CSHCN with at least 2 conditions had more visits to a health care provider, needed more services, and reported more unmet needs than CSHCN with a single DSM condition. The magnitude of need among CSHCN was greatest among those with at least 1 of each type of neurologic condition.

CONCLUSIONS: Unmet health care needs exist among CSHCN with neurologic conditions and are particularly pronounced among children with a combination of both DSM and non-DSM disorders. The health care needs among CSHCN with multiple neurologic conditions may be better served by targeted efforts to improve care coordination.
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Childhood neurologic conditions encompass a heterogeneous group of diagnoses including epilepsy and neurodevelopmental and sensorimotor conditions. A variety of medical needs have been identified among children with neurologic conditions. Thus, most children with neurologic conditions are children with special health care needs (CSHCN) who, by definition, “require health and related services of a type or amount beyond that required by children generally.” The health care needs of CSHCN with neurologic conditions have not been well examined. The limited literature provides evidence that specific health care needs and unmet needs among children with neurologic conditions can vary according to the type of condition. For example, CSHCN with emotional, developmental, or behavioral problems are more likely to need mental health care, and to have unmet needs for health care services, compared with other CSHCN.

The fact that neurologic and other medical conditions often co-occur complicates the provision of needed services to children with neurologic conditions; children with such conditions often need to access multiple services including primary care, mental health care, education, and specialized medical services. Recent population-based estimates of co-occurring developmental disorders exceeded 90% among children with autism, 80% among children with an intellectual disability, and 50% among children with cerebral palsy. Other studies have reported that one third of young children with hearing loss have another neurodevelopmental condition, and more than 60% of children with vision loss have at least 1 other condition.

Beyond what is found in the diagnostic profile of a child, additional factors associated with health care needs and unmet needs among CSHCN include demographic characteristics and the presence and severity of a functional limitation. Unmet needs are associated with insurance status, poverty, and race. In addition, parents of CSHCN with severe limitations have reported increased health care needs in most domains, more unmet needs, and less satisfaction with care. Conditions that result in similar functional impairment, such as different mental disorders, can result in similar challenges in the provision of services in the face of high service needs, barriers to access to care, and complicated treatment plans. Identifying similarities and differences in the health care needs among groups of CSHCN can help identify opportunities for service optimization at national and local levels that will affect a larger number of families. The extent to which service need and acquisition are similar among samples of children with various neurologic conditions has not been examined. The purpose of this study was to describe patterns of health care needs and unmet needs among CSHCN with neurologic conditions, including mental disorders, by using data from the 2005–2006 National Survey of Children With Special Health Care Needs (NS-CSHCN). We hypothesized that the frequency and types of health care needs, and unmet needs, would be similar among CSHCN with mental disorders and differ markedly from CSHCN with other types of neurologic conditions.

**METHODS**

**Sample**

Data from the 2005–2006 NS-CSHCN were analyzed; the general methodology for this survey is described in this supplemental issue and elsewhere. CSHCN aged 3 to 17 years with neurologic conditions (N = 19,112) were included for the current analyses. Neurologic conditions reported by parents included attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), autism, emotional disorders (depression, anxiety, eating disorders, or other emotional disorders), mental retardation or other developmental delay, Down syndrome, epilepsy or seizure disorder, cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy, and vision or hearing loss not correctable with lenses or aids. Although the current accepted terminology for mental retardation is “intellectual disability,” and “epilepsy” is usually preferred over the term “seizure disorder,” these were the terms used in the NS-CSHCN.

For all conditions except vision and hearing, parents were asked, “To the best of your knowledge, does [child] currently have [condition]?” For vision and hearing, parents were asked if “Without (glasses, contact lenses/hearing aids), would you say (he/she) experiences any difficulty (seeing/hearing)?” If parents answered yes, they were asked whether the child used an aid, and if again they answered yes, they were asked whether the child had “any difficulty (seeing/hearing) even when (wearing glasses or contact lenses/using a hearing aid)?” Only children whose parents answered yes to the third question were considered to have significant hearing or vision problems. Because of the large overlap in this survey in reporting of intellectual disability among children with Down syndrome (89.4%), a single-condition variable, “intellectual disability, including Down syndrome,” was derived.

Neurologic conditions were divided into 2 groups on the basis of their inclusion in the fourth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM): (1) conditions referenced by the DSM, including ADHD, autism, emotional disorders, and intellectual disability or...
other developmental delays; and (2) conditions not included in the DSM, including epilepsy, cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy, and vision or hearing problems.

Statistical Analyses

Given the variation and significant degree of co-occurrence among the neurologic conditions both within and between the 2 groups, analyses were conducted to test whether there were differences in health care needs among mutually exclusive subgroups of CSHCN with (1) a single DSM condition, (2) more than 1 DSM condition, (3) a single non-DSM condition, (4) more than 1 non-DSM condition, or (5) at least 1 DSM and 1 non-DSM condition. Because of limited sample size, subgroups 3 and 4 were combined, which resulted in 4 independent subgroups. Variables that assess demographics, health care needs, and unmet needs were compared among subgroups (Tables 1–3). Variables of satisfaction with family-centered care and care coordination that were developed by the Maternal and Child Health Bureau and the National Center for Health Statistics were included. Satisfaction with family-centered care was based on whether doctors spent enough time, listened carefully, were sensitive needed information, made the family feel like a partner, and an interpreter was available when needed. Satisfaction with care coordination was based on whether doctors spent enough time, listened carefully, were sensitive needed information, made the family feel like a partner, and an interpreter was available when needed.
on satisfaction with communication among doctors and between doctors and other programs and whether the family received sufficient help coordinating care, if needed. A principal components analysis was used to reduce 3 health insurance items assessing coverage, cost, and allowance to see needed providers into a single “adequacy-of-health-insurance” factor ($\alpha = .70$), which was included as a covariate. Although most outcome measures correlated significantly with one another, most correlation coefficients were <.4, and all were <.7.
Data management was conducted by using SAS (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC), and weighted statistical analyses were conducted by using SUDAAN software (Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC) to account for the complex sampling design of the NS-CSHCN. Prevalence and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated, followed by multivariable regression analyses that controlled for race (white or other), Hispanic ethnicity, age (3–5, 6–12, or 13–17 years), gender, adequacy of health insurance (adequate or not), household income of 200% of the federal poverty level, family structure, Hispanic ethnicity, age (3–5, 6–12, or 13–17 years), gender, adequacy of health insurance (adequate or not), and household income of 200% of the federal poverty level. Other services included nonmedical services such as early intervention programs, child care facilities, vocational education and rehabilitation programs, and other community programs.

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unmet Health Care Needs</th>
<th>Children Without Special Health Care Needs, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>CSHCN With Any Neurologic Condition, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>CSHCN With 1 DSM Condition Only, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>CSHCN With ≥2 DSM Conditions, % (95% CI) or OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>CSHCN With ≥1 Non-DSM Condition, % (95% CI) or OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>CSHCN With At Least 1 DSM Condition, % (95% CI) or OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delayed or lack of access to care</td>
<td>5.3 (4.5–6.3)</td>
<td>11.5 (10.7–12.3)</td>
<td>9.4 (8.4–10.4)</td>
<td>14.3 (12.7–16.0)</td>
<td>8.2 (6.1–10.9)</td>
<td>15.4 (13.0–18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied with family-centered care</td>
<td>33.8 (31.8–35.9)</td>
<td>40.9 (39.7–42.1)</td>
<td>36.5 (34.8–38.2)</td>
<td>47.5 (45.5–49.7)</td>
<td>36.0 (31.5–40.8)</td>
<td>45.9 (42.5–49.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not satisfied with care coordination</td>
<td>23.2 (20.8–25.8)</td>
<td>50.9 (49.6–52.2)</td>
<td>44.7 (42.8–46.6)</td>
<td>60.0 (57.7–62.2)</td>
<td>38.4 (34.2–42.6)</td>
<td>57.5 (53.8–61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem getting a referral</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0–1.7)</td>
<td>9.4 (8.7–10.2)</td>
<td>6.9 (6.0–7.8)</td>
<td>13.0 (12.0–14.0)</td>
<td>6.8 (5.0–9.1)</td>
<td>15.2 (12.8–17.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties using other services</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0–3.2)</td>
<td>17.3 (16.4–18.2)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.2–13.3)</td>
<td>24.5 (22.7–26.4)</td>
<td>10.8 (9.1–14.4)</td>
<td>25.4 (22.4–28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction using services</td>
<td>4.3 (3.5–5.3)</td>
<td>13.1 (12.3–13.9)</td>
<td>9.4 (8.5–10.4)</td>
<td>17.8 (16.2–19.5)</td>
<td>8.5 (5.9–12.2)</td>
<td>19.7 (18.0–22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have an unmet need</td>
<td>6.0 (5.2–7.1)</td>
<td>22.8 (21.8–23.8)</td>
<td>17.5 (16.3–18.9)</td>
<td>29.4 (27.4–31.4)</td>
<td>16.3 (15.3–20.6)</td>
<td>32.9 (29.8–36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not receive all needed care</td>
<td>2.0 (1.3–3.1)</td>
<td>3.6 (3.1–4.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0–3.2)</td>
<td>4.5 (3.5–5.8)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.4–4.6)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.8–7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine preventive care</td>
<td>5.0 (3.3–7.4)</td>
<td>8.1 (7.2–9.1)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.4–7.8)</td>
<td>10.9 (8.9–12.8)</td>
<td>9.3 (7.0–2.4)</td>
<td>11.0 (7.8–15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty doctor</td>
<td>4.5 (3.7–5.4)</td>
<td>10.1 (9.3–10.9)</td>
<td>8.8 (7.7–10.0)</td>
<td>11.6 (10.2–13.1)</td>
<td>7.4 (5.0–10.7)</td>
<td>13.6 (11.2–16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive dental care</td>
<td>5.3 (3.8–7.4)</td>
<td>14.3 (12.8–16.0)</td>
<td>11.7 (9.7–14.0)</td>
<td>17.3 (14.4–20.7)</td>
<td>13.1 (8.3–20.1)</td>
<td>19.8 (15.0–25.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other dental care</td>
<td>0.56 (0.3–1.1)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.2–3.1)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2–2.1)</td>
<td>4.2 (3.0–5.4)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.0–6.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.4–5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription medications</td>
<td>5.6 (2.7–11.3)</td>
<td>15.0 (15.7–16.5)</td>
<td>12.4 (10.4–14.7)</td>
<td>16.6 (13.9–19.2)</td>
<td>18.3 (15.1–21.9)</td>
<td>18.0 (15.3–20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical, occupational, or speech therapy</td>
<td>11.7 (6.8–19.4)</td>
<td>15.4 (14.2–16.8)</td>
<td>13.7 (12.0–15.6)</td>
<td>18.6 (15.4–20.7)</td>
<td>16.5 (12.3–19.8)</td>
<td>17.2 (15.5–21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health care or counseling</td>
<td>1.8 (0.4–7.3)</td>
<td>15.2 (11.8–19.4)</td>
<td>12.6 (7.1–21.3)</td>
<td>17.5 (12.5–20.5)</td>
<td>10.1 (5.5–25.4)</td>
<td>15.4 (9.8–23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home health care</td>
<td>3.0 (1.8–5.0)</td>
<td>5.4 (4.5–6.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.4–7.7)</td>
<td>6.7 (4.9–8.9)</td>
<td>7.2 (4.6–11.1)</td>
<td>6.3 (4.6–8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyeglasses or vision care</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.3 (6.6–13.0)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.4–7.5)</td>
<td>16.3 (10.5–24.8)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.8–5.3)</td>
<td>15.1 (11.8–20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing aids or hearing care</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.3 (6.6–13.0)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.4–7.5)</td>
<td>16.3 (10.5–24.8)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.8–5.3)</td>
<td>15.1 (11.8–20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication aids or devices</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>25.9 (21.2–31.3)</td>
<td>16.0 (9.8–25.0)</td>
<td>24.4 (17.3–33.1)</td>
<td>16.6 (12.3–21.9)</td>
<td>32.3 (24.3–41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical supplies</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2–7.9)</td>
<td>6.7 (4.8–9.2)</td>
<td>6.2 (3.2–11.4)</td>
<td>6.9 (4.0–11.6)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.5–16.1)</td>
<td>7.7 (4.5–12.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NC indicates not calculated.

* DSM conditions include all DSM and non-DSM conditions listed below.

* DSM conditions include all DSM and non-DSM conditions listed below.

* Adjusted OR and 95% CI, compared to referent, controlling for gender; race, Hispanic ethnicity, age, adequacy of insurance, poverty, and severity of limitation. ORs were not calculated to compare children without special health care needs.

* Other services included nonmedical services such as early intervention programs, child care facilities, vocational education and rehabilitation programs, and other community programs.

* RSE > 50%.
eral poverty level, and severity of limitation (none, some, or severe). The refer-
ent group for the multivariable analyses comprised CSHCN with a single DSM condi-
tion. Imputed income values were provided by the National Center for Health Statistics and incorporated statistically by using a single-imputation approach as de-
scribed in the survey documentation. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs were calculated for categorical out-
comes and linear regression $\beta$ coeffi-
cients for the number of services needed.

Descriptive statistics were also calcu-
lated for an independent sample of 4181 US children aged 3 to 17 years without special health care needs. These families were sampled by the NS-CSHCN as a baseline for expected health care needs among US children of the same age with-
out special health care needs, and they completed the special health care needs screener and most of the NS-CSHCN. Evalu-
ating the estimates and the overlap of the 95% CIs for each estimate allows for de-
scriptive comparisons with the CSHCN.

Posthoc analyses were conducted to account for the large number of statisti-
cal comparisons. The data set was re-
structured and logistic regression models were run to collectively deter-
mine if there were overall differences in needs (all variables in Table 2) or unmet needs (all variables in Table 3) while controlling for the type of service (each variable) and all covariates pre-
viously included in the model.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of CSHCN With Neurologic Conditions**

Of all CSHCN aged 3 to 17 years, 50% had 1 of the reported neurologic conditions, representing 4.8 million US children. Children with neurologic conditions most often were included in the CSHCN sample, but some children without special health care needs nonetheless were reported to have a neurologic condition, most commonly ADHD or emotional problems (Table 1). Among CSHCN with neurologic conditions, DSM conditions were more common than non-
DSM conditions. Only 34 (4%) of the 1227 in the non-DSM-disorder group had more than 1 condition.

Compared with children without special health care needs, a greater per-
centage of CSHCN with neurologic conditions were male, white, non-
Hispanic, and aged 6 to 17 years. They also were more likely to have inade-
quate insurance, public health insurance only, or a combination of private and public health insurance and to be living in poverty (Table 1). Among CSHCN with neurologic conditions, those with 2 or more neurologic condi-
tions (either at least 2 DSM conditions or 1 of each type) were more likely than CSHCN with a single DSM condi-
tion to have inadequate insurance, be uninsured, have public health insur-
ance, and be living in poverty (Table 1).

**Descriptive Comparison of Health Care Needs Between CSHCN With Neurologic Conditions and Children Without Special Health Care Needs**

As expected, on the basis of the definition of CSHCN, CSHCN with neurologic conditions had more health care needs than children without special health care needs (Table 2). CSHCN with neurologic conditions were more likely than children without special health care needs to have had a lack of or delayed access to care, a problem getting a referral, a specific unmet need, problems using other services, and dissatisfaction with the degree of care coordination and family-centered care (Table 3).

**Health Care Needs Among CSHCN With Neurologic Conditions**

Among CSHCN with neurologic condi-
tions, those with a single DSM con-
dition needed the least number of distinct services (mean: 4.5 [95% CI: 4.4–4.5]), compared with the other subgroups (2 or more DSM conditions, mean: 5.2 [95% CI: 5.1–5.3]; a non-DSM condition, mean: 5.0 [95% CI: 4.9–5.2]; at least 1 of each type of condition, mean: 6.3 [95% CI: 6.1–6.4]). The num-
ber of services required by the 3 sub-
groups was significantly greater than that required by the single-DSM-
disorder subgroup after controlling for covariates ($P < .001$ for each contrast).

After adjustment for sociodemo-
graphic factors and severity of limitation, CSHCN with 2 or more DSM condi-
tions had threefold increased odds of needing mental health care and were more likely to have had more health care visits and to have needed more home health care and communication aids, compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition. In contrast, CSHCN with 2 or more DSM conditions were less likely to have needed mobility de-
VICES than CSHCN with a single DSM condition.

Compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition, CSHCN with non-DSM conditions had greater odds of having had a recent emergency department visit and having needed a referral, therapy, or medical supplies. This sub-
group also had a twofold to threefold increase in odds of needing a specialty doctor, vision care, hearing care, mo-
bility aids, and durable medical equip-
ment. CSHCN with non-DSM conditions had 10% of the odds of needing mental health care compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition.

CSHCN with at least 1 DSM and 1 non-
DSM condition had the highest mean number of conditions (3.0) and gener-
ally had the greatest magnitude of need. CSHCN with both DSM and non-
DSM conditions had a nearly twofold increase in reporting 9 or more health care visits and at least 3 times greater.
odds of needing communication aids, physical, occupational, or speech therapy, and home health care compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition (Table 2).

The posthoc analyses that collectively tested for all needs listed in Table 2 showed that compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition, those with 2 or more DSM conditions needed more services (OR: 1.3 [95% CI: 1.3–1.4]), as did those with at least 1 non-DSM condition (OR: 1.4 [95% CI: 1.3–1.5]) and those with at least 1 of each type of condition (OR: 2.1 [95% CI: 1.9–2.3]).

Unmet Needs Among CSHCN With Neurologic Conditions

Unmet health care needs were generally greater for CSHCN with 2 or more DSM conditions or at least 1 of each type of condition. These 2 groups had increased odds for dissatisfaction with care coordination and difficulty using “other” (non–health care) services. These subgroups were also at increased odds for having any unmet need, including not receiving all prescriptions, as compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition (Table 3).

The increased odds of having an unmet need remained significant for the subgroup of those with 2 or more DSM conditions (OR: 1.3 [95% CI: 1.1–1.6]), with a statistical trend for the DSM and non-DSM subgroups (OR: 1.2 [95% CI: 1.0–1.5]), after controlling for number of services needed. In addition, parents of CSHCN with 2 or more DSM conditions had increased odds of reporting delayed or lack of access to care, dissatisfaction with family-centered care, and not receiving all needed routine care or care from a specialty doctor. CSHCN with only non-DSM conditions were less likely to report dissatisfaction with care coordination and were less likely to have not received all needed care from specialists, compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition.

The posthoc analyses that collectively tested for all unmet needs listed in Table 3 showed that compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition, those with 2 or more DSM conditions had more unmet needs overall (OR: 1.2 [95% CI: 1.1–1.2]), as did those with at least 1 of each type of condition (OR: 1.1 [95% CI: 1.1–1.2]). There was no difference in the collective measure of unmet needs among CSHCN with at least 1 non-DSM condition (OR: 0.9 [95% CI: 0.9–1.0]; P = .09) compared with CSHCN with a single DSM condition.

DISCUSSION

Several significant differences were noted between subgroups of CSHCN with neurologic conditions. CSHCN with a single DSM condition generally had fewer health care needs than CSHCN in the other subgroups. CSHCN with at least 1 DSM and 1 non-DSM condition had the greatest magnitude of health care needs.

The findings associated with the subgroup of CSHCN with non-DSM conditions are somewhat challenging to interpret, because this subgroup included CSHCN with single or multiple conditions. However, because few children in the group had multiple conditions (4%), the estimates largely represent those with a single non-DSM condition.

Having multiple neurologic conditions seemed to be more important in defining unmet needs than the specific type of neurologic condition. CSHCN in the multiple-neurologic-condition subgroups (at least 2 DSM conditions or at least 1 DSM and 1 non-DSM disorder) had more unmet needs and reported greater dissatisfaction with care, care coordination, ability to get a referral, and ability to use other services. Having an unmet need did not seem to be a direct consequence of having more needs, because adjusting for the number of needs did not completely explain the differences in unmet needs between the subgroups. In addition, unmet needs did not seem to be the result of increased severity of conditions among CSHCN with multiple conditions, because all findings were adjusted for severity of limitation.

Notably, the non-DSM-disorder subgroup was least likely to have unmet needs or to be dissatisfied with care coordination, whereas the 2 subgroups of CSHCN with multiple conditions had the greatest unmet needs and dissatisfaction with care coordination. Care coordination may be more challenging for children with multiple conditions, which could result in more unmet needs among this subgroup of CSHCN.

The findings presented here should be interpreted in the context of several limitations. The study was limited to neurologic conditions and did not assess other co-occurring conditions (eg, diabetes, asthma, or heart conditions). In addition to being at greater risk for co-occurring neurologic conditions, CSHCN with neurologic conditions are also at greater risk for other health conditions; therefore, unmet needs associated with neurologic conditions may have been overestimated. Moreover, analyses were limited to those conditions included in the survey, and therefore the findings may not generalize to children with other neurologic conditions. Furthermore, results were influenced by the most prevalent conditions (eg, ADHD) and can only be generalized to noninstitutionalized CSHCN. Because of the relatively small numbers of children in the non-DSM-disorder group, it was not possible to draw conclusions about health care needs specific to CSHCN with single versus multiple non-DSM conditions. Finally, this study focused on health care needs, not services provided by social welfare and educa-
health care issues specific to CSHCN, and the representativeness of the sample to the noninstitutionalized population of US CSHCN.

CONCLUSIONS

These findings highlight the importance of identifying and addressing the needs of subpopulations of CSHCN with neurologic conditions who are most in need, including children with multiple conditions. CSHCN who require different types of services not only need more resources in terms of service but also may require coordination of care. These results support previous recommendations for educating pediatricians about care coordination, providing reimbursement for coordination, and finding creative strategies to improve care coordination for CSHCN with multiple neurologic conditions.
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